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Abstract—Time-triggered system provides more attractive options for many safety-related and safety-critical embedded systems. The work is mainly concerned with developing novel scheduling algorithms and implementation techniques which can be automated and ensured predictability during the process of time-triggered co-operative architecture. The major objective of this work is to modify an automated scheduling technique for use with time-triggered co-operative based on the employment of multiple timer interrupts. The results show that proposed algorithm provides the effective schedulability and can help in a significant reduction of scheduling time as compared with a traditional scheduler.
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I. INTRODUCTION

This paper is specifically concerned with software development for resource-constrained systems. When designing for small embedded device for safety-related and safety critical systems, it is generally recognized that the use of “Time-Triggered” (TT) system architectures offers significant advantages over other system approaches [1], [2]. In TT architecture, all tasks are activated at a specific time instants based on a periodic timer [3]. Such architecture is statically computed before the system begins to execute. As a result, the TT system will offer a highly-predictable behavior and can suit for many safety-related applications, such as anti-lock brakes, airbags, or biomedical sensors [4], [5].

In TT designs, there has been interested design option which simple, generate a complete and highly-predictable schedule called Time-Triggered Co-operative (TTC) scheduler. Such scheduler also known as cyclic executive scheduler-describes as a useful design pattern that can be used to build in resource-constrained embedded system. The TTC can be applied in various automotive applications, a wireless communication system, various control application systems, data acquisition systems, washing-machine control, and monitoring of liquid flow rates [1], [2].

Despite many advantages, TTC might be suffered from failure modes that can greatly impair system performances which are the fragility of scheduler, the problems of task jitter, and task overruns [5]. To avoid suffering from inappropriate task scheduler, there has been alternative approached by developing the scheduler implementation based on the technique of “Multiple Timer Interrupt” (MTI) called “TTC-MTI” scheduler, this technique can be addressed such problems effectively. In literature, Kuankid et al. [3] indicated that TTC-MTI scheduler achieved better performance in timing behavior as opposed to the other technique. However, in practical terms, there has been a few studies explore the automated scheduling technique to process of such scheduler.

According to the aim of this paper, the work has interested to modify an automated time-triggered scheduling technique based on MTI scheduler for use with a range of time-triggered co-operative architecture, to ensure that user can select appropriate task scheduler when implementing with the technique based on multiple timer interrupts. This paper is organized as follows: Section II gives related work of scheduling in real-time system. Section III presents the automated scheduling technique of TTC scheduler based on MTI technique. Section IV finds the method and results from experiment. Finally, Section V concludes the use of automated scheduling technique.

II. RELATED WORK

The main components while developers create software for use in real-time system is the task scheduler. When categorizing scheduling algorithm based on the triggering mechanism, there are two common approaches used in scheduling real-time systems: Event-Triggered (ET) system and time-triggered system [4, 5].

In the event-trigger system, all tasks are invoked as a response to events when external events take place [5]. In this case, the system is controlled purely by the response to external events, typically represented by interrupts which can arrive at any time [4]. Event-triggered system is generally recommended for application which offers high responsiveness, flexibility, and ability to handle sporadic data are exchanged in the system [4]. However, due to unknown request time from the external events, such system might suffer in less predictable and poor determinism. As for time-triggered system, all tasks is activated at specific time instants under the control of a timer [4, 6, 7]. The system is usually driven by a global clock which generates periodic interrupts from hardware timer that overflows at specific time instants. The system can suit for many control applications which offers a highly-predictable behavior. In addition, such system is preferred a choice of safety-related system in which all system activities must be known during the design phase [4], [5], [7]. According to this work, there has interested software development for safety-related system. Therefore,
the automated task scheduling is designed based on the time-triggered architecture.

When developing software with TT architecture, there has been interested design option called TTC scheduler. In TTC architecture, all tasks in the system is run to completion, one task cannot pre-empt other tasks and only one timer interrupt is supported. Fig.1 shows the operation of TTC scheduler. In these circumstances, Task A executes while Task B has to wait until Task A to completion, and returns to control the scheduler. After that, Task B will be executed. As can be seen that the TTC can be avoided conflicts over the problems of share resources and task can communicate safety by means of global variables [7].

![Fig. 1. (a) A schematic representation of three tasks which need to be scheduled. (b) The operation of a typical TTC scheduler](image)

In literatures, the TTC has a wide range of possible implementation options available, these different options have varying resource requirements and performance behavior. The simplest approach for implementing TTC scheduler in low-cost embedded devices is TTC-SL scheduler (Time-Triggered Co-operative - Super Loop) [8]. This architecture is implemented based on the super loop, can be seen simple, easy to implement, and very small resource requirements. However, the TTC-SL approach is not sufficient reliability for precise time. It also operates at full-power because of inefficient use of idle mode. An alternative solution to this problem is TTC-ISR architecture (Time-Triggered Co-operative - Interrupt Service Routine) [7]. The TTC-ISR scheduler can be created using “Interrupt Service Routine” linked to the overflow of a hardware timer. The timer is set to overflow at regular “tick interval”. When tick interval occurs, the system will execute task following the task scheduler. The main advantage is that the successive function calls will take place at precisely-defined intervals. However, because the system is not separate between the “scheduler” code and the “application” code (i.e. tasks), if this scheduler runs multiple tasks, particularly tasks with different periods, the system will become not simple and may be difficult to debug and/or maintain. Another problem is that if the task exceeding it predict execution time, the system may be ignored the timer tick interval, this can generate a domino effect on the subsequent tasks, and causes the system hang indefinitely. The alternative solution is TTC-Dispatch scheduler (Time-Triggered Co-operative-Dispatch), this scheduler can be created using ISR the same as TTC-ISR. To avoid losing ticks from task overruns, this TTC-Dispatch scheduler separates the timer ISR and the process of task execution. In TTC-Dispatch scheduler, the software employs two principal functions, which are Update and Dispatch function. The Update function uses to update the scheduler at regular time intervals and Dispatch function uses to organize tasks to be executed when they are due to run. The operation of TTC-Dispatch scheduler is illustrated in Fig.2 When the interrupts occur, the ISR will be called the Update function. In this Update function, the scheduler sets appropriate flags in order to note that an interrupt has occurred. After Update function has completed, a Dispatch function will be called, and the identified tasks will be executed following in sequence. The Dispatch function is called from the Main function similar to TTC-ISR scheduler. The system is usually placed in a Sleep function (idle mode) in order to reduce system operating power after complete execution each task.

![Fig. 2. Function call tree for the TTC-Dispatch scheduler (adapted from [2])](image)

![Fig. 3. Function call tree for the TTC-MTI scheduler (adapted from [9])](image)
III. SCHEDULER IMPLEMENTATION BASED ON THE TECHNIQUE OF MULTIPLE TIMER INTERRUPTS

More recently, there has been an alternative approach to fixed problems of TTC scheduler by developing the scheduler implementation based on the technique of multiple timer interrupts called “TTC-MTI” scheduler [2], as shown in Fig. 3. The process uses two interrupts update functions which are Tick Update (ISR tick interrupt) and Task Update (ISR task interrupt) function. The Tick Update function is used to generate the periodic tick interval whereas Task Update is used to notify for execution task within the period of tick interval.

In general, the Tick Update function which is called every tick interrupt will arrange the task which ready to execute within the current periodic tick interval. If the system has task to execute in this period, the scheduler will set up and enable timer following the required release time of task interrupt, after that placing the processor to the idle mode (Sleep function). However, if within this period has no task to execute, the process will disable task interrupt and go to the Sleep mode in order to reduce power consumption within this period.

When task interrupt occurring, the Task Update function will run the first task until completion, after that checks the other tasks in this tick interval. If this period has other tasks to run, the process will set up timer for the next tick interrupt and execute all tasks until completion before placing the processor to the idle mode. After all tasks within the tick interval were executed successfully, the scheduler will disable the task interrupt, then place the processor to Sleep function, and waiting for executing with the next tick interval.

IV. MODIFIED AUTOMATED SCHEDULING TECHNIQUE OF TTC SCHEDULER

In TTC architecture, the tasks are organized to execute following the types of implementation that are TTC-SL, TTC-ISR, TTC-Dispatch, and TTC-MTI. It can be seen that effective scheduling techniques are the need for the TTC architecture because an inappropriate task scheduling may cause all tasks set cannot be scheduled at all. Moreover, if the scheduler is implemented improper behavior, this problem can lead to high levels of task release jitter and also increased power consumption in the system [9]-[11].

As for TTC-MTI scheduler, Kuankid et al. [3] proposed the scheduling algorithm which implemented based on multiple timer interrupts to test the schedulability of system. Such algorithm will compute the system that feasible to schedule or not. This can help in a significant reduction of design stage before the system execution. Therefore, to fulfill the gap between the scheduling algorithm and scheduler implementation, this paper proposes to modify an automated time-triggered scheduling technique for use with TTC-MTI scheduler. This system can help in applying the scheduler in real-time system, especially in cases that user wants to add or remove tasks to the system while operating.

In the implementation phases, the automated task scheduling can be modified as show in Fig. 4. In general, the scheduler will execute task and return to run the scheduler as the pattern of the previous section. On the other hand, if user wants to add or remove tasks, the processor will disable both of the tick interrupt and task interrupt to stop the scheduler. Then calculate the schedulability of all tasks following the scheduling algorithm [3]. In this computation, if all tasks can be scheduled, the process will set up the new scheduler and add or remove task to the system, after that enable the tick interrupt to start a new scheduler. However, if all tasks is not feasible, the process will return to run the previous scheduler again.

V. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

To evaluate the performance of automated task scheduling, it presents scheduling time and schedulability test of proposed system by comparing with a traditional scheduler.

A. Scheduling Time

1) Hardware platform and software development tools

In this experiment, the target platform is a small microcontroller LPC2129. The LPC2129 is based on a 32 bit ARM7 microcontroller, which is used an oscillator frequency of 12 MHz and a CPU frequency of 60 MHz. The CPU consists of two 32 bit timers with 4 multiple channels in each timer. Accordingly, this CPU has enough timers to implement the TTC-MTI scheduler. As for the software development, this paper used development tools from Keil products[12]. The tool chain was used RealView MDK version 4.12.

2) Task specifications

To explore the performance of this algorithm, task set parameters which consists of 100 tasks were randomly generated with standard uniform distribution and the random task set can be scheduled at all. By assuming all tasks are period, the deadline is equal to its period. The worst case execution time and period of all tasks is generated according to the following inequalities:

\[
0 < WCET(i) < 10000 \text{ us} \quad (1)
\]

\[
WCET(i) < P(i) < 10000 \text{ us} \quad (2)
\]

3) Results

The experiments were tested and compared the performance between traditional algorithm and proposed TTSA-MTI algorithm. The result in Table I shows that the scheduling time of TTSA-MTI is significant reduction in computation time when compare with a traditional approach.

B. Schedulability Test

1) Task specifications

For meaningful testing, task set parameters which consists of 10 tasks were randomly generated with standard uniform distribution at different utilization (0-1) following the inequalities 1 and 2.

2) Results

The results of percentage of schedulable can be shown in
Fig. 5. It can be seen that the performance of proposed algorithm is closely related to the traditional approach. However, the traditional approach is slightly higher the proposed algorithm at the utilization more than 0.75
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.014</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.144 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.77 ± 0.015</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>0.317 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.77 ± 0.015</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>20.76</td>
<td>0.513 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>35.77 ± 0.017</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>0.733 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>54.87 ± 0.015</td>
<td>54.89</td>
<td>54.85</td>
<td>0.979 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>77.95 ± 0.018</td>
<td>77.98</td>
<td>77.92</td>
<td>1.248 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.251</td>
<td>1.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>105.21 ± 0.014</td>
<td>105.23</td>
<td>105.19</td>
<td>1.547 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>1.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>136.37 ± 0.011</td>
<td>136.39</td>
<td>136.35</td>
<td>1.865 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.868</td>
<td>1.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>155.20 ± 0.018</td>
<td>155.23</td>
<td>155.17</td>
<td>2.352 ± 0.002</td>
<td>2.357</td>
<td>2.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>187.80 ± 0.020</td>
<td>187.83</td>
<td>187.78</td>
<td>2.622 ± 0.001</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>2.620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. CONCLUSIONS

Due to the predictability and highly reliable behavior of TTC architecture, this work concentrates to implement such scheduler in real-time resource-constrained embedded system. The work is mainly concerned with developing novel scheduling algorithms and implementation techniques which can be automated and ensured predictability during the process of TTC architecture. The results show that proposed algorithm provides the effective schedulability and can help in a significant reduction of scheduling time as compared with a traditional scheduler.
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