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Abstract—So far, security mechanisms for mobile devices have had difficulties to protect from malicious threats due to the limited resources of mobile devices. With the prevalence of cloud computing, one of promising solutions to overcome the difficulties is to exploit cloud environments, where a remote virtual machine performs the resource-consuming security analysis instead of a mobile device. However, existing cloud-based solutions are still insufficient because of the code coverage problem and security level degradation. Therefore, this paper proposes a static and dynamic analysis based security solution called SORcloud. For dynamic analysis, it offloads a process of a suspicious application to a remote virtual machine for dynamic security analysis, by which SORcloud resolves two problems mentioned above. Through comprehensive experiments, we show how efficiently the proposed scheme works and detects malicious behavior.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The number of malware targeting mobile devices such as smartphones or tablets is growing fast. Mobile devices usually have several types of critical information: user’s position, certificates including personal information which is used for the financial transactions, private contacts, and a gallery containing pictures and videos, and so on. This nature of the mobile devices tempts malicious attackers to steal the valuable information through malware attacks, which makes it necessary to protect the mobile devices against the information leakage.

Of course, the malware attacks are not new threats. The malware on mobile devices are not quite different from those of PCs (personal computer) such as desktops and laptops. In order to protect from malware attacks, there have been proposed a lot of solutions to detect the malware. However, the legacy solutions are not suitable for mobile devices because of the limited capacity and computing resources of mobile devices.

One of alternative solutions to overcome the limitation of mobile devices is to detect malware by using separate servers. The basic concept is that separate powerful servers take on the detection which requires a heavy workload on behalf of mobile devices. Recently, with the prevalent use of the cloud computing, Virtual Machines (VM) are widely used as the separate servers. In this paper, therefore, every separate server is assumed to operate as the VM in the cloud. This kind of solutions can be broadly classified into two different approaches, sandbox-based and replay-based.

Firstly, the sandbox-based approach [1]-[6] literally uses a VM as a sandbox¹. In this approach, the required security modules, e.g., a static malware detector or a dynamic behavior analyzer, are installed into the VM, and a suspicious program is executed and analyzed through the installed security modules in the VM acting as a sandbox. Thus, this approach can avoid the overload of mobile devices for detection. Furthermore, the information can always be protected even if the suspicious program fulfills its task since the VM is not a real mobile device but just a sandbox. However, it cannot be guaranteed that the behaviors of the suspicious program are examined thoroughly, which is called a Code Coverage Problem, since the inputs to the program, e.g., typing numbers or pressing a volume button, are not generated from a real user, but an emulator.

Secondly, in the replay-based approach [7], [8], all the events that occur in the mobile device are replayed in the VM. Similar to the sandbox, the required security modules are installed into a VM, and they examine the behaviors of the suspicious program. The main difference is that the inputs to the application program are sent from the real user’s device in real-time, and what the suspicious program does in the mobile device are replayed in the VM. In other words, the VM executes the suspicious program one more time with the same inputs as the mobile device. Therefore, it does not have the code coverage problem due to the use of the actual user’s inputs. However, it needs the initial overhead to make the same environment as the mobile device in the VM, and the communication overhead to transmit user’s input to the VM. The fatal shortcoming is that it cannot prevent the information leakage since it is a post processing method. That means even if malicious behaviors are detected in the VM, the information has been already stolen from the mobile device. To sum up, the sandbox-based approaches offer the secure analysis environment which can prevent the information leakage, but have the code coverage problem. On the other hand, the replay-based approaches provide the complete examination, but cannot guarantee the information leakage prevention, which causes the degradation of security level. So far, we have had to abandon one of code coverage and security level because of the tradeoff between two different approaches.

In this paper, we propose a new approach to overcome the tradeoff, which is an offloading-based security solution for mobile devices called SORcloud (Security ORiented cloud).

¹Sandbox is a security mechanism for separating untrusted or suspicious programs.
SORcloud also installs the required security service modules on a VM, executes a suspicious program in the VM, and makes it analyzed through the security modules. It is noteworthy that execution offloading is introduced for dynamic analysis for the behaviors of mobile devices.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we discuss related work. In Section III, we explain SORcloud framework design and implementation. In Section IV, we evaluate SORcloud. We conclude in Section V and finally in Section VI, we discuss future work.

II. RELATED WORK

An android application sandbox system called AAsandbox [1] is one of the early sandbox based approaches. An application is executed in a fully isolated environment, where low-level interactions like system calls are logged for monitoring and analysis. The other sandbox based approach is Appspalyground [2], which consists of detection components and exploration mechanisms to analyze smart phone applications. The automatic exploration mechanism is used to allow more parts of the application to be executed, which can increase the code coverage. Mobile-sandbox [3] is a sand-box based hybrid system combining static and dynamic analysis. It detects the malicious behaviors of an application by logging calls to native (non-Java) APIs. Andrubis [4] is also a hybrid system designed to analyze unknown applications. It performs more efficient dynamic analysis by using the results of the static analysis. Taintdroid [5] is a dynamic taint tracking system which has the ability to track multiple sources of sensitive data. It provides the real time analysis by leveraging Android’s virtualized execution environment. Droidbox [6] is based on the Taintdroid approach. It provides an effective way for dynamic analysis, and generates the reports for information leakage via network, file and SMS. Furthermore, the analysis process could show the cryptography operations which is being done in the execution using Android API [9]. Secloud[7] is one of replay based approaches. It replicates a device registered to a designated cloud, and replays the replica in the cloud through the synchronization of the device and the replica by passing the device inputs and network connections. It allows the server to perform a resource-intensive security analysis. Another similar approach is Paranoid Android [8]. It provides security checks on remote servers, and applies multiple detection techniques simultaneously. The difference between the two replay based approaches is that in paranoid approach the tracing and replay process are done in the application level and it has the advantage of removing the non-deterministic inputs.

Sandbox and replay based approaches are similar to our work. In sandbox approaches, the main difference is that sandbox uses user data generated by emulator, however we use user input’s state data generated by device which increases code cover and makes it hard to malware detection to speculate the type of environment they are running in. In replay based approaches, instead of replicating all the data to the VM and by the time replay based solutions detect the suspicious behavior, the device would have already been attacked, however SORcloud offloads the required data from device to the VM using the specific data state, which minimize the bandwidth and storage capacity, besides that the device can’t fully execute the application until it has been confirmed by security models in cloud that it’s out of any suspicious activity.

III. SORCLOUD FRAMEWORK

A. System Overview

As a hybrid system, SORcloud provides both static and dynamic analysis. While the static analysis is performed when an application is installed into a device, the dynamic analysis inspects the behaviors of the application at run-time. According to the purpose, the seven modules of SORcloud can be classified into three categories, security modules, execution offloading modules and system modules. Static Analyzer and Dynamic Analyzer are security modules, State Manager, Offloader and Code Instrumentor are execution offloading modules, and Installer and Packet Manager are system modules. These modules, furthermore, can be divided into two types according to when they work, install-time and run-time modules. In this section, we briefly explain how each module works at install-time and run-time.

When the user launches the application which was instrumented at install-time, the runtime process of SORcloud begins. This process is presented with the solid line in Fig. 1. When the code inserted by Code Instrumentor trigger the execution offloading during the application execution, State Manager in the device captures the state of the current application thread, and suspends the thread (1). On receiving the state from State Manager (2), Offloader in the device passes the state to Packet Manager in the cloud (3). Packet Manager forwards the state to Offloader in VM where the application was installed (4). State Manager inside VM receives the state from Offloader, and restores the application thread and resumes the execution (5). During the execution, the dynamic analyzer monitors the behaviors, i.e., network traffic, of the application thread (6). When the execution offloading ends, State Manager in VM captures the state of the current application thread and suspend it (7). If no malicious behavior is detected during execution, the state is sent back to Offloader in the device (8-10). Then State Manager takes over this state (11), restores the application...

---

2 The execution offloading is a technique that gets some parts of a program code run in remote cloud in order to avoid mobile device’s overhead.
thread, and resumes the execution (12). Whenever the execution offloading occurs, this run-time process is repeated.

**B. Code Instrumentation**

For the runtime execution offloading, it is needed to determine which parts of the application code should be offloaded in order to analyze dynamic behaviors of the application at runtime. Code instrumentation is a process to inject the code which indicates the offloading points for the thread migration. An android application usually consists of various call-back methods, which are invoked only when designated events happen. Since some of the designated events should be analyzed at runtime through offloading, the offloading points generally correspond to call-back methods.

It is noteworthy that SORcloud does not have code coverage problem like replay-based approaches because the call-back methods corresponding real-time user inputs are executed in cloud. For example, assume that a click event on a button invokes a call-back method. If the call-back method is executed in the cloud, we can monitor the behaviors of this click event.

In SORcloud, we define target method which is a call-back method to be monitored at runtime. There are two kinds of target methods: User Interface call-back method and Activity Life Cycle call-back method.

1. **User Interface call-back methods**: onClick(), onLongClick(), onFocusChange(), onKey(), onTouch(), onCreateContextMenu()
2. **Activity Life Cycle call-back methods**: onCreate(), onStart(), onResume(), onPause(), onStop(), onDestroy()

In code instrumentation part, two dummy –empty– methods (doMigration() and doRemigration()) are declared first. The dummy methods are inserted at the beginning and end of the target method body, respectively. When doMigration() method is invoked at the device, the execution offloading starts. In the other way, when doRemigration() method is invoked at the cloud, the execution offloading ends.

However, there may be some code in the target method body which cannot be offloaded. For example, UI related API code, e.g., getting/setting user input data from/to a UI component, and hardware related API code cannot be executed in the cloud because these do not work correctly in VM. Therefore, we define these methods as non-offloadable API code which should be executed only in the device, not in the cloud. If there are any non-offloadable API code in the target method body, the code is executed in the device. Fig. 2 shows an example of Code Instrumentation.

**C. Thread Migration**

The proposed SORcloud exploits the execution offloading to monitor runtime behaviors of an unknown application by executing the application code in the cloud. More specifically, we use execution offloading technique by implementing thread migration. Offloading framework for thread migration has been already suggested in several studies [10], [11], and we use some modules of the existing frameworks. In this subsection, it will be described what modules are being used and how these modules work.

![Fig. 2. Example of code instrumentation. The code written in bold are injected ones by Code instrumentor. doMigration() and doRemigration() methods are defined in (1). These methods are inserted in the target method to apply execution offloading (2) and to guarantee non-offloadable API code executed in the device (3). Through this, it is determined that which codes are executed in either device (4) or cloud (5).](image)

In Android framework, each android application runs on an application virtual machine (VM)\(^3\). Once an application VM is assigned, it allocates a thread to execute the application code. The state of the thread, i.e., program registers, call stack and heap objects, are changing while the application code is being executed. For the thread migration between a device and a server, these states should be transferred between them. This state transfer is handled by two modules, State Manager and Offloader. State Manager captures and restores the state, and Offloader sends and receives the state from a device to a server and vice versa. State Manager exists for each application VM in both a device and a server. When the code injected by Code Instrumentor is executed, the interpreter of an application VM signals to State Manager to capture the state of the thread and to suspend execution of the thread. When State Manager receives the state from Offloader, it restores and resumes the suspended thread with the received state. Offloader implemented as Android application sends and receives state of a thread from and to state manager as well as transfers them between a device and a cloud.

**D. Security Analysis**

For security analysis, SORcloud can adopt various security modules. However, this work does not focus on security modules, but on the offloading framework for security analysis. In this work, therefore, we just use two types of security modules, Source code analysis and Network security modules. The source code analysis module, Static Analyzer in this work, analyzes the source code before the offloading and the network security module, Dynamic Analyzer, checks

---

\(^3\) In this work, we use Dalvik VM because Android 4.0.3 is used in our experiment.
if the information leakage happens through the network.

As a source code analysis module, the Virustotal website tool [12] is used. This tool examines android applications and URLs with 54 different virus-scanning software products. Static Analyzer automatically sends an APK file that a user clicked on his/her device to the tool through the public APIs.

Untangle [13] is used as Dynamic Analyzer in order to prevent the information leakage by malicious application. It is an open source solution that combines the GUI web-based network management and control for network security. In this work, Dynamic Analyzer is configured to block the traffic outgoing to specific websites. It monitors traffic generated by the running application and reports filtering results.

IV. EVALUATION

A. Experimental Setup

In this work, we have built the prototype of SORcloud. We used Galaxy Nexus with dual-core 1.2 GHz CPU and 1 GB of RAM as a mobile device. For the cloud, a quad-core desktop with a 3.4GHz CPU and 32 GB of RAM running CentOS 6.5 is used. And using KVM, 2GHz core and 8GB of memory are allocated to each VM in the cloud. Packet Manager is implemented on Software Defined Network (SDN) controller. A mobile device and VMs use the same Android 4.0.3 version. State Manager is implemented by modifying Dalvik VM. Installer and Offloader are implemented as an android application. Code Instrumentor is implemented by using dex2jar [14].

B. Experimental Results

Efficiency of dynamic analysis. The first concern is the networking traffic caused by transferring the state for execution offloading because the application execution may be delayed due to data exchange time. To show that SORcloud incurs the reasonable amount of traffic, we measure the traffic caused when a user executes an application remotely through Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP) [15]. The RDP provides the user with a graphical user interface to connect to a remote VM actually running the application over a network connection. The execution offloading traffic and the RDP traffic are compared for three real world android applications: TinyURL, mOTP and DroidWeight.

Fig. 3 shows the average sizes of the transferred data while the applications are being executed with the same user scenario. In the cases of TinyURL and mOTP, SORcloud incurs less network traffic than the RDP solution. However, when DroidWeight is running, SORcloud incurs more data traffic. These results can be explained as follows. In SORcloud, there is no data transfer when a part of the application code is not offloaded. However, the more frequent offloading causes more network traffic. In the RDP solution, upload data for the user inputs and download data for the screen display are transferred continuously, even in the idle state. Although the amount of network traffic varies according to the type of application, we can say SORcloud is comparable with the RDP. That means SORcloud is sufficient to execute applications in real time.

Security enhancement. Since many recent approaches use a mobile device emulator for dynamic analysis to detect malware, malware developers devise techniques to evade the malware detection. One of popular techniques is to stop a malware working in an emulator. Therefore, malware developers exploit some APIs to check the running environment [16], [17].

Although SORcloud also relies on a VM-based emulator in the cloud, malware have no way to figure out their running environment since the hardware related API code is executed only in the mobile device.

Fig. 4 shows a simple example code for emulation detection. The code at line 5 is to get name of device, and the code at line 7-14 is the actual behavior based on the name of device. According to the execution environment, the behavior of this code would be different. If this code is executed in an emulator, we cannot detect the malicious code since nothing happens. On the other hand, in SORcloud, the mobile device name is obtained since the hardware related code at line 5 is executed in the mobile device. And the states of thread including the object for a device name are migrated to the cloud. Therefore, even if the code at line 7-14 is executed in the emulator, we can detect the malicious behavior as if this code is running in the real mobile device.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed SORcloud which is a cloud based solution for detecting mobile android malware statically and dynamically. The execution offloading
technique is introduced to monitor the runtime behavior of applications. SORcloud overcomes limitations of the existing approaches, code coverage problem and security degradation. It is shown that SORcloud can detect efficiently malicious behaviors of unknown applications at runtime.

VI. FUTURE WORK

Since SORcloud is an extensible cloud based framework, it can easily add or remove security modules. Therefore, it will be the first step to add more security modules such as System Call Monitor and Taint Analyzer to monitor various dynamic behaviors.

SORcloud does not examine the non-offloadable APIs in order to hinder malwares from figuring out the running environment. However, since it may be asked if the non-offloadable APIs are safe, a mechanism to monitor the behaviors of them needs to be considered.
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