# Fuel Station Capacity on Major Arterial Road in Thailand: A Case Study in Chonburi Province, Thailand

Supornchai Utainarumol and Surachai Ampawasuvan

Abstract—Fuel Service Station is an important activity that is located along the side arterial road with traffic a lot of throughout all the day. However, traffic volume entrance more than fuel station capacity, and then generate queue length on Main Street. The cause traffic jams at the entrance exit fuel station on Main Street. That is reason studied behavior of fuel station capacity, and that does not cause queuing spill out onto the main street to cause traffic impact problems. This analysis important third variables include number of dispenser, parking space and queue length from setback right of way to dispenser. This studied by queuing micro-simulation, polynomial regression and linear regression, which found number of dispenser is affect important variable with capacity of fuel station. The parking space variable is moderate affect capacity of gasoline station, that difference of Liquid petroleum gas station. There have queue length affect moderate variable with capacity of fuel station. These results led to the better traffic management planning within the fuel station area by type of fuel station.

Index Terms—Fuel station, queue length, arterial road.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The most traffic jam of them consists of road capacity and intersection caused by the trip generation to carry out activities along the road. It causes delays and inconvenience from the access point. Sometimes, queue lengths of the traffic volume can enter the activity area. It causes delays and inconvenience from the access point, which has queue lengths of the traffic volume enter to the side road activity area [1].

The most of universal requirements for Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) is recommend for that result in entrance exit activity area more than 100 trips per hour or activity area size and location site. [2]-[3] The set relief measure reduces traffic impact on road users has Internal traffic management and External Infrastructure management. [4]-[5].

Fuel station is an activity that a vehicle requires. Either for refueling or other activities within the fuel service stations that have a variety of activities.[6]-[8] This is a side road activity that needs to travel to and out of large areas. Especially fuel stations located along the major arterial road, most of which travel through traffic with high speed and controlled access for the safety of road users.

That is preventing the effect of waiting for a service that can affect the queue length that overflows into the major arterial road, which will affect traffic flow on the main road. It is necessary to study the capacity of fuel stations along major arterial road, which can accommodate the entrance trip to fuel station without causing queue length overflow to main road. This used for traffic planning for internal traffic managements in accordance with traffic impact preventive measures.

#### II. SCOPE STUDY

The study fuel service station located on the major arterial road in Chonburi province. It is divided into 3 types of fuel stations:

- Gasoline & Diesel Station (Oil)
- Liquid Petroleum Gas Station (LPG)

• Compressed Natural Gas Station (CNG)

- The variables used in the analysis consisted of 3 variables:
  - Number of dispensers in fuel station
  - Queue length space in fuel station
  - Number of parking spaces in fuel station

Definition: The capacity of a fuel station service means the ability to the maximum traffic demand entrance to station, and then has not queue length over flow to Main Street.

#### III. METHODOLOGY

This study is divided into 4 steps, including the first steps to field data survey, and secondary steps to Queuing Simulation model. The third steps to amount of trip into the fuel station from the regression model. Final steps to create a linear regression of the capacity of the fuel service station, then consist to independents variable from the dispenser, parking, and queue length. As shown in Fig. 1.



Manuscript received October 30, 2017; revised March 12, 2018.

Supornchai Utainarumol is with Department of Civil Engineering, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand (e-mail: s\_utainarumol@yahoo.co.th).

Surachai Ampawasuvan was with Rice University, Houston, TX 77005 USA. He is now with the Bureau of Planning Department of Highways, Thailand (e-mail: Jaen\_sur@hotmail.com).

# A. Field Survey

It consists of a survey of the service period of the customer and the Behavior within the fuel service station.

Re-fuel time in fuel station

Period time of other activity service within the fuel station Behavior after Re-fuel service (Go-out or choose other service)

Behavior and Time of waiting Re-fuel service Type of vehicle service

# $\bigcirc$

#### B. Queuing Model Analysis

Queuing simulation model by Extend Sim program to the study service behavior. The model is calibrated to the actual state of the data survey, with a model. As shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Fuel station queuing simulation (Extend Sim).

There are 4 categories of vehicles used in the service.

GROUP 1. General car: 4-wheel (4 Tires) consists of passenger car less than 7 seats and more than 7 seats (Passenger Car, PC <7 / PC> 7), Light bus (LB) and light truck (LT)

GROUP 2. Heavy buses include 2 - 3 Axle vehicle (6-12 Tires) consisting of medium buses (MB) and heavy bus (HB).

GROUP 3. Heavy trucks include more than 2 - 3 Axles (6 Tires up) consisting of median trucks (MT), Heavy truck (HT), Tailor (Tr) and Semi-Tailor (Semi-Tr)

GROUP 4. Motorcycle

As shown in Table I.

|                 | TABLE I: VEHICLE GROUP   |              |                           |            |  |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Group           | 1                        | 2            | 3                         | 4          |  |  |  |
| PCE factor      | 1.00                     | 2.10         | 2.50                      | 0.33       |  |  |  |
| Туре            | General car              | Heavy bus    | Heavy Truck               | Motorcycle |  |  |  |
| Vehicle<br>Type | PC<7<br>PC>7<br>LB<br>LT | MB<br>HB     | MT<br>HT<br>Tr<br>Semi Tr | МС         |  |  |  |
| Detail          | 4 Tires                  | 6 – 12 Tires | 6 Tires Up                | 2 Tires    |  |  |  |

Model analysis finds out the queue length from the fuel station to the main street.

It is varying the traffic volume to the fuel station starting from 50 vehicles per hour to 1,000 vehicles per hour. (50, 100, 200, 500, and 1,000 Veh/hr). Which depending on the type and characteristics of the fuel station, as shown in Table II.

| TABLE II: FUEL STATION CHARACTERISTIC MODEL |             |    |    |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|----|----|--|--|--|--|
| Туре  | LPG CNG OIL |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle dispenser                     | -           | 8  | 4  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Tires vehicle dispenser                   | 12          | 8  | 6  |  |  |  |  |
| Motorcycle dispenser                        | -           | -  | 2  |  |  |  |  |
| Model total dispenser                       | 12          | 16 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Model Parking                               | 60          | 80 | 25 |  |  |  |  |

### C. Relationship of Queuing Analysis

Creating the relationship of the length of the queue length overflows from fuel stations to Main Street with entrance traffic demand into fuel station.

That is polynomial regression characteristic. The data

obtained from queuing model simulation with the form shown in (1)

$$Y = aX^2 + bX + C \tag{1}$$

Y = Queue length on main street (PCU)

X = Arrival rate (Vehicle/hour)

a = Coefficient 1

b = Coefficient 2

c = Constant

This analysis predicts the amount of traffic entrance demand volume to the fuel station. That does not queue length overflows onto Main Street.

#### D. Capacity Analysis

The linear regression equation of fuel stations capacity with number of dispenser, parking space area and queue length for setback right of way to dispenser. That using data from queuing equation (1) in the relationship of the long queue length overflows from fuel stations onto Main Street.

There it with on the amount of travel entrance traffic demand volume into the service station with characteristic of fuel stations.

The correlation analyzing coefficients of variables is relationship between variables of 4 variables that will be used to build regression model analysis is formed by the equation shown in (2)

$$r = (nnXY - nXnY) / (ennX2 - nX2 * ennY2 - nY2)$$
(2)

r = Pearson correlation, r

X = Variable 1

- Y = Variable 2
- n = Number of Variables

The correlation coefficient is a value that indicates a relationship between variables. The relationships of the variables shown in Table III

| TABLE III: LEVI        | EL OF RELATIONSHIP    |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Correlation, r         | Level of Relationship |
| 0.90 - 1.00            | Very high             |
| 0.70 - 0.90            | High                  |
| 0.50 - 0.70            | Medium                |
| 0.30 - 0.50            | Low                   |
| 0.00 - 0.30            | Very low              |
| Domonte Hintels D.E. 1 | 000 - 110             |

Remark: Hinkle D.E. 1998, p.118

Linear Regression in this study determines dependent variable is the capacity of the fuel stations capacity with independent variables form equations. As shown in (3)

$$Y = aX_1 + bX_2 + cX_3 + d$$
(3)

Y =Capacity of fuel station (Vehicle per hour)  $X_1$  = Dispenser number (dispenser)  $X_2$  = Parking space (vehicle)  $X_3$  = Queue length space (vehicle)  $X_3 = 1 + (L/6.00)$ 

L= right of way to dispenser  $a = \text{Coefficient } X_1$  $b = \text{Coefficient } X_2$ 

 $c = \text{Coefficient } X_3$ d = Constant

#### IV. RESULT

The field survey in fuel station major arterial road gathers information on field length of service refuel and duration of other service activities within fuel station find that general car (4 Tires) refuel oil filling between 1minute and 56 seconds to 2 minutes and 50 seconds per vehicle less than the fill gas takes to fill 3 minutes 49 seconds to 5 minutes and 7 seconds.

Heavy bus and heavy truck find time to refuel between fuel oil as gas. It has time to fill between 13 minutes and 16 seconds to 18 minutes and 53 seconds.

Finally, motorcycle found refuel around 51 seconds and or a period of service other activity between 4 minutes and 13 seconds to 6 minutes and 7 seconds, that most customer use fuel station by oil fuel more than fuel station by gas. Show in Table IV.

TABLE IV: SERVICE TIME OF VEHICLE REFUEL

| Туре         | General<br>car | Heavy<br>bus | Heavy<br>Truck | Motor<br>cycle | Other<br>Activity |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| LPG          | 3.49           | None         | None           | None           | 0:04:22           |
| CNG          | 5.07           | 17.08        | 13.16          | None           | 0:04:56           |
| Oil diesel   | 2.50           | 18.53        | 14.41          | None           |                   |
| Oil gasoline | 1.56           | None         | None           | 0.51           |                   |
| Average Oil  | 2.31           | 18.53        | 14.41          | 0.51           | 0:06:07           |

Remark: Unit minute per vehicle

The proportion of vehicle type service divided by the last working day found the most general car around 75.79 to 91.66 percent.

Liquid petroleum gas service station has most general car customer service bus heavy bus and heavy truck does not service it. The heavy vehicle to use particular service to oil and natural compression gas (CNG or NGV) station service around 0.49 to 10.33 percent.

Finally, motorcycle segment to use the oil service station fuel around 12.94 to 14.56 percent, as shown in Table V

| TABLE V: PERCENT OF VEHICLE TYPE SERVICE |             |              |                |                |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре                                     | General car | Heavy<br>bus | Heavy<br>Truck | Motor<br>cycle |  |  |  |  |
| LPG weekday                              | 87.68       | 0.10         | 3.10           | 8.57           |  |  |  |  |
| LPG weekend                              | 91.66       | 0.02         | 0.82           | 7.47           |  |  |  |  |
| CNG weekday                              | 75.79       | 1.87         | 10.33          | 4.81           |  |  |  |  |
| CNG weekend                              | 80.56       | 1.59         | 6.43           | 2.43           |  |  |  |  |
| Oil weekday                              | 79.31       | 1.05         | 4.58           | 14.56          |  |  |  |  |
| Oil weekend                              | 83.47       | 0.49         | 2.97           | 12.94          |  |  |  |  |

| TADLE VI. EKUPUKTIUNS UP VEHICLE NEPUEL |
|---|
|---|

| Туре         | General car | Heavy<br>bus | Heavy<br>Truck | Motor<br>cycle |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| LPG          | 0.95        | 0.00         | 0.00           | 0.00           |
| CNG          | 0.90        | 0.98         | 0.95           | 0.00           |
| Oil diesel   | 0.67        | 0.05         | 0.95           | 0.00           |
| Oil gasoline | 0.59        | 0.00         | 0.00           | 0.95           |
| Average Oil  | 0.64        | 0.05         | 0.05           | 0.95           |

The most purpose of customer service need refuels in to gas service station around 90 percent more than oil service station.

The general car to use refuels around 64 percent by oil service station, but motorcycle need refuel more than 95 percent. Shown in Table VI

A survey in the field of customer service behavior to after the service refueling found that most are getting out of the service fuel station immediately after more than 94 percent without the use of other services within the station, that except gas service stations has the general car will use other activity services within after refueling station was completed before leaving the fuel station approximately 40 to 45 percent. Show in Table VII.

TABLE VII: PROPORTIONS OF RUN OUT AFTER REFUEL

| Туре         | General car | Heavy<br>bus | Heavy<br>Truck | Motor<br>cycle |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| LPG          | 0.60        | None         | None           | None           |
| CNG          | 0.55        | 0.95         | 0.70           | None           |
| Oil diesel   | 0.93        | 0.95         | 0.95           | None           |
| Oil gasoline | 0.95        | None         | None           | 0.94           |
| Average Oil  | 0.94        | 0.95         | 0.95           | 0.94           |

The result of customer fuel station survey in maximum waiting time of refuel allowable found general car to waiting between 3 minutes and 40 seconds to 14 minutes 32 seconds, then heavy bus and heavy truck to wait between 10 minutes 33 seconds to 20 minutes.

Finally, motorcycle has maximum waiting time around 3 minutes 26 seconds.

The most of heavy vehicle has waiting time of refuel service more than light vehicle. Show in table VIII

TABLE VIII: MAXIMUM WAITING TIME SERVICE

| Туре           | General car | Heavy<br>bus | Heavy<br>Truck | Motor<br>cycle |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| LPG            | 0:05:24     | N/A          | N/A            | N/A            |
| CNG            | 0:14:32     | 0:15:00      | 0:20:00        | N/A            |
| Average Oil    | 0:03:40     | 0:10:33      | 0:10:33        | 0:03:26        |
| Other Activity | 0:05:00     | 0:10:00      | 0:10:00        | 0:02:00        |

Remark: Unit in minute per vehicle

The average service time on the amount of traffic arrival demand into the fuel station found that oil service station fuel oil has customer use minimal duration (9 minutes and 41 seconds).

It has arrival demand into the service station as possible well as a variety of vehicle types that use the service more gas service station stations than double arrival demand of oil service station. Show in Table IX.

| TABLE IX: TOTAL TIME SERVICE IN FUEL STATION |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре   | Total time service<br>(Minute / Vehicle) | Flow rate<br>(Vehicle / hour) |  |  |  |  |
| LPG  | 0:12:29                                  | 96.17                         |  |  |  |  |
| CNG  | 0:14:18                                  | 81.83                         |  |  |  |  |
| OIL  | 0:09:41                                  | 198.67                        |  |  |  |  |

The calibration queuing model from micro simulation with actual in field survey found movement between 0.15 to 7.41 percent, which high confidential expected to be established. Shown in Table X.

TABLE X: VALIDATION OF SIMULATION MODEL

|          | Field                           |                                | Mo                              | odel                           | Error                      |                           |  |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Туре     | Trips<br>attraction<br>(Veh/hr) | Trip<br>production<br>(Veh/hr) | Trips<br>attraction<br>(Veh/hr) | Trip<br>production<br>(Veh/hr) | Trips<br>attraction<br>(%) | Trip<br>production<br>(%) |  |
| Gasoline | 212                             | 191                            | 209                             | 191                            | 1.57                       | 0.15                      |  |
| LPG      | 96                              | 80                             | 91                              | 85                             | 5.87                       | 5.91                      |  |
| CNG      | 101                             | 77                             | 99                              | 83                             | 2.79                       | 7.41                      |  |

The result analysis of relationship between the queue length overflow onto Main Street with traffic arrival rate entrance fuel station fuel find polynomial curve characteristic relations.

The queue length is multiplied by the amount of traffic volume into the fuel station, which varies according to the type of service. Shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Relationship of queue length with traffic demand.

The result of polynomial regression equation relations between queue length with traffic arrival demand into fuel station to testing correlation of variables found number of dispenser has high relationship with fuel station capacity in gas service station (r = 0.6797 - 0.9453) and moderate relationship for oil service station. The compression natural gas service station is capacity highly effect to number of dispenser. Oil service station has parking space and number of dispenser to moderate relationship with capacity, that different to liquid petroleum gas service. It has number of dispenser to highly relationship and queue length to moderate relationship with capacity. Show in Table XII. TADIE VI. CODDELATION TEST OF VARIABLES

|       | Indee AL CORRECTION LET OF VARIABLES |                     |                  |                       |          |                     |                  |                       |          |
|-------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|
|       |                                      |                     | Fuel stati       | on weekday            |          |                     | Fuel station     | on weekend            |          |
|       |                                      | Dispenser<br>number | Parking<br>space | Queue<br>length space | Capacity | Dispenser<br>number | Parking<br>space | Queue<br>length space | Capacity |
| on    | Dispenser number                     | 1.0000              |                  |                       |          | 1.0000              |                  |                       |          |
| stati | Parking space                        | 0.0000              | 1.0000           |                       |          | 0.0516              | 1.0000           |                       |          |
| oline | Queue length                         | 0.0000              | 0.0000           | 1.0000                |          | -0.0076             | -0.0566          | 1.0000                |          |
| Gas   | Capacity<br>station                  | 0.4988              | 0.4798           | 0.2136                | 1.0000   | 0.1275              | 0.4283           | 0.3518                | 1.0000   |
|       | Dispenser number                     | 1.0000              |                  |                       |          | 1.0000              |                  |                       |          |
| tion  | Parking space                        | 0.0000              | 1.0000           |                       |          | 0.0000              | 1.0000           |                       |          |
| j sta | Queue length                         | 0.0000              | 0.0000           | 1.0000                |          | 0.0000              | 0.0000           | 1.0000                |          |
| LPG   | Capacity<br>station                  | 0.7850              | -0.0741          | 0.4450                | 1.0000   | 0.6797              | -0.0311          | 0.5387                | 1.0000   |
|       | Dispenser number                     | 1.0000              |                  |                       |          | 1.0000              |                  |                       |          |
| tion  | Parking space                        | 0.0000              | 1.0000           |                       |          | 0.0255              | 1.0000           |                       |          |
| G sta | Queue length                         | 0.0000              | 0.0000           | 1.0000                |          | 0.0452              | -0.0633          | 1.0000                |          |
| CNC   | Capacity<br>station                  | 0.8908              | 0.0949           | 0.1874                | 1.0000   | 0.9453              | 0.0521           | 0.2274                | 1.0000   |

The linear regression of fuel station capacity create 3 variables include the number of dispensing fuel, parking space inside the station and setback of right of way to dispenser (queue length space) found a gas service station with a confidence level more than oil over service station.

The compressed natural gas stations (CNG or NGV) have a high confidence level (R-square = 0.837- 0.929), more than petroleum liquids service station with a confidence level is relatively high (R-square = 0.753 - 0.819)

Finally, oil service station has moderate confidence level (R-square = 0.337 - 0.525). Shown in table XII

| TABLE XII | : FUEL STATION | CAPACITY | LINEAR | REGRESSION |
|-----------|----------------|----------|--------|------------|
|-----------|----------------|----------|--------|------------|

|   | Dispenser Parking Queue length |         | Queue length | <sup>1</sup> Constant |          |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------|
|   | number                         | Space   | space        | (d)                   | R-square |
|   | (a)                            | (b)     | (c)          | (u)                   |          |
| Gasoline fuel<br>station working<br>day | 10.2245                        | 2.6024  | 35.3889      | -10.9467              | 0.5246   |
| Gasoline fuel station weekend           | 2.1779                         | 2.4140  | 61.5960      | 22.9520               | 0.3369   |
| LPG fuel station working day            | 53.3452                        | -0.5036 | 92.3889      | -482.4365             | 0.8198   |
| LPG fuel station weekend                | 43.8254                        | -0.2008 | 106.1111     | -477.4868             | 0.7531   |
| CNG fuel station<br>working day         | 22.9669                        | 0.6671  | 40.2222      | -270.4587             | 0.8377   |
| CNG fuel station weekend                | 19.6930                        | 0.2506  | 34.6089      | -213.8076             | 0.9294   |

#### V. CONCLUSION

This studies of fuel station at a specific location on the major arterial road with the services provided by the operator only. The capacity of the fuel stations may be reduced by the amount of time on refuel and service station customer behavior of the type of vehicle used in the area. Mathematical equations of study can be used for applications in the primary planning stages of the supply management of fuel station. Gas station need setback dispenser to right of way more than oil station, then because refuel gas is more than three time with as oil and most heavy vehicle choose gas for fuel. That will affect the capacity of the station is quite a lot, then need special consideration. The oil station must be considered in the parking space in the analysis. This preliminary conclusion is used to analyze the traffic impact assessment for fuel station along major arterial road.

### APPENDIX A

A. Vehicle Classification

|             | Туре                             | Axle  | Tire       | Symbol |      |          |            |
|-------------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------|----------|------------|
| PC<7        | Passenger<br>car under 7<br>seat | 2     | 4          |        |      | <b>.</b> | . <u>.</u> |
| PC>7        | Passenger<br>car over 7<br>seat  | 2     | 4          |        |      |          |            |
| LB          | Light bus                        | 2     | 4          |        |      |          |            |
| MB          | Medium<br>bus                    | 2     | 6          |        |      |          |            |
| HB          | Heavy bus                        | 2-3   | 6-<br>12   |        |      |          |            |
| LT          | Light truck                      | 2     | 4          |        |      |          |            |
| MT          | Medium<br>truck                  | 2     | 6          |        |      |          |            |
| HT          | Heavy<br>truck                   | 3-4   | 10 –<br>12 |        |      |          |            |
| Tr          | Full Trailer                     | 5-8   | 14 –<br>28 |        |      |          |            |
| Semi-<br>Tr | Semi-<br>Trailer                 | 5 – 7 | 18 –<br>24 |        |      |          |            |
| MC          | Motorcycle                       | 2     | 2          | 5to    | 5. A | <b>1</b> | Ĵ.         |

Remark: Resource by Thailand Department of Highways [9]





B. Fuel Service Model



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was performed with the help and cooperation from the customers in fuel station on Route number 344 Chonburi - Banbung and Route number 361 by pass Chonburi in providing data to the research team. Besides, the cooperation and help is from officials of the Chonburi Highway District of Thailand Department of Highways. The authors would also like express the appreciation to the reviewers for their comments and guidance.

#### REFERENCES

- S. Ampawasuvan, "The behavior of trip attraction of fuel station along the arterial road," Ph.D. Dissertation, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand. 2015
  NorthIreland Department of the Environment, "Planning Policy
- [2] NorthIreland Department of the Environment, "Planning Policy Statements and Supplementary Planning Guidance," *Transport Assessment Guideline*, Department for Regional Development (DRD) and the Department of the Environment (DOE), North Ireland, 1991

- [3] Roads and Traffic Division, "Basic Tia Information Requirements" *Traffic Impact Assessments (TIA) Guidelines, Part 2*, Department of Infrastructure, Energy and Resource, Bangkok, Thailand. 2007
- [4] Regional Planning and Transportation Division, "Planning Policy Statement 13" *Transportation and Land Use, PPS 13*, Department of Regional Development, North Iceland, UK. 2005
- [5] E. J. Tripi, "Basics of Ite trip generation a ditsrolein calculating and its role in calculating transportation impact fees," Growth and Infrastructure Consortium Conference, CA, USA. 2011
- [6] C. Eary, E. Ruehr, and R. Lee, "San diego's MXD trip generation model for smart growth and Mixed-use developments," *ITE-western meeting Anchorage*, Institute of Transportation Engineering, AK, USA. 2011
- [7] Traffic Research Unit Planning, "Gasoline station," Vermont Trip Generation Manual, Outreach and Community Affairs Division Vermont Agency of Transportation, Vermont, USA. 2010
- [8] Institute of Transportation Engineers, "Trip Generation Analysis" Transportation *Planning Handbook*, pp. 108-112, Institute of Transportation Engineers, DC, USA. 1992
- [9] Bureau of Highways Safety, "Thailand vehicle classification," Average Annual Daily Traffic report, Thailand department of Highways, Bangkok, Thailand. 2015

**Supornchai Utainarumol** was graduated Ph.D. in transportation Engineering at Vanderbilt University, USA since 1998.

He is now an associate professor in Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand.

He specializes in transportation planning, traffic engineering, land use and transportation impacts, transportation economic, and Geographic Information System applications in transportation. **Surachai Ampawasuvan** was Ph.D. civil engineer (transportation engineer) with King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand since 2016.

He is now a Civil engineer in Bureau of planning, Department of highways, Thailand.

He specializes in highway planning, traffic safety, valuation project and civil construction and design.