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Abstract—This study focuses on vacant houses which become a social problem recently especially in local cities. The study area is a historic area in Japan called Hizen Hamashuku in which traditional townscape left and the house owners can receive subsidy from government to repair and renovate their buildings. Yet, vacant houses were increasing by low birthrate and aging. Thus, local habitants’ recognition on reuse of vacant houses in the area was analyzed, and its problem was discussed to build a system of better use and management of the vacant houses. As a methodology, a questionnaire survey was conducted to clarify the idea of the owner of the vacant houses in the area. Then, basic situations of the vacant houses and wishes of the owners could be confirmed, and valuable data for utilization of the vacant houses for future of the area could be obtained. In addition, a questionnaire survey was conducted to NPO of the study area and persons who had moved to live into the area from the outside. Thus, we could discuss a system for reuse of the vacant houses from each viewpoint.

Index Terms—Local historic town, historic preservation area, reuse of vacant house, questionnaire survey, role of intermediate organization.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

According to the survey by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications of Japan, that is, "change of the number of the total houses and the number of the total households" issued in 2008, the number of vacant houses in Japan is approximately 7, 600, 000 houses. It is said that the rate of vacant houses is over 13% [1], and is expected that the rate will increase up to 43% by 2040, and it is afraid that urban environment turns worse and residential comfort may be worse remarkably [2]. In addition, even in historic area with cultural heritage such as important traditional buildings preservation district designated by Japanese government (hereafter, ITBPD), vacant houses tend to increase and bring crises of communities. If vacant houses increase, townscape of the area became worse, and vigor of the whole town and value of ITBPD may be lost. Therefore, management system to promote use of vacant houses is needed also viewing from security, hygiene, and townscape. The increase of vacant houses is caused by low birthrate and aging, so the use of vacant houses which is currently carried out and the study for management system of vacant houses are still in trial and error.

B. Research Review

Reuses of vacant houses have been currently carried out in every ITBPD, so there are many researchers who research on vacant houses. As previous studies relevant to our study, we can categorize into three types: studies on organizations who reuse vacant houses, studies on management system to promote use of vacant houses, and studies on actual condition of vacant houses.

As per studies on organizations, Ito and Shimizu reveal that subleases with limitation of lease term by local organizations can succeed in reduction of number of vacant stores, and that it brings people who are interested in the sublease and also leads the areas to revitalization [3]. Goto and Kawashima mention some consideration points to local organization rather than management system to promote use of vacant houses [4]. Sakoda and Kato introduce that private organization and local government in Yame city of Fukuoka take great care of immigrants from the before and to the after of their moves [5].

Regarding management system to promote use of vacant houses, Sakai categorizes activities of local organizations which renovate traditional houses in Japan and discuss practical methods for renovation of traditional houses [6]. Tujimoto and Fujita clarify organization system and significant points to renovate vacant houses through taking questionnaire survey to the immigrants of Imai-machi, Nara prefecture [7].

As for the actual condition of vacant houses, there are numerous researches. Ohuchi et al. reveal causes of vacant houses and efficiency of renovation projects of vacant houses by questionnaire survey to house owners [8]. Matsuoka et al. mention the possibility of housing supply by vacant house owners supply vacant houses by vacant house owners to accept people coming from another place [9]. Yamazoe and Kanki suppose to be able to stop increasing vacant houses by measures in process of becoming vacant houses [10]. Yoshida et al. mention that vacant houses do not always affect townscape and that consciousness of house owners to vacant houses do not relate to maintenance of vacant houses [11]. Yamazoe et al. show that local government staffs have high consciousness to vacant houses and that many vacant houses are used as public facilities [12].
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C. Aim of Study

In this study, we focus on an area with historic townscape as a local town which has grants for repairing and landscaping of buildings. Then, the aim of study is to make clear a management system for an intermediate organization to reuse vacant house viewing from habitants’ perception.

D. Methodology

First, the study set a study area called Hizenhamasyuku (hereafter, HHS) in Kashima city of Saga prefecture, Japan, because its population is aging and the number of vacant houses are increasing although the area is preserved and subsidized as ITBPD and also supported with a townscaping project by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (hereafter, MLITT). Besides, Kashima city authority entrusts a survey of the vacant house to NPO “Mizumachikai” (hereafter, the NPO) which works for community building (“machidukuri” in Japanese) in the area. Therefore, considering the situation, this study conducts a questionnaire survey to the house owners of the vacant houses and to staffs of the NPO following the study procedure as shown in Fig. 1.

II. OUTLINE OF THE SURVEY AREA

In HHS, there are two ITBPDs which have different characters as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. One of the ITBPDs is Hamashozumachi -hamakanayamachi district (hereafter, Shokin district) characterized as a local town facing to the Ariake Sea with a lot of wooden thatched townhouses and tile-roofed town houses, and partially also as a fisherman village. Another one is Hamanakamachi-happongishuku district (hereafter, Hasshuku district). This area has durability of traditional buildings and Sake Breweries.

Fig. 2 also indicates vacant houses in the area. This figure was created by the NPO and Kashima city during 2012 to 2013. The vacant houses they surveyed are in Shokin and Hasshuku districts, and additionally in Ekimae street district.
(hereafter, Ekimae district) and Sakagura street district (Sakagura district) which are being improved by a subsidy system of the MLITT. According to the map, number of vacant houses is 53, and it is expected that the number of the vacant houses will be increased because of a lot of old elderly persons in the ITBPDs, then the townscape might be worse. In the future, to maintain the townscape should be difficult. Hence, we must solve the problem as soon as possible.

![Thatched houses in Shokin](image1)
![Street view of Hasshuku](image2)

Fig. 3. Photos of the study area.

III. SURVEY AND ANALYSIS OF THE STUDY AREA

Generally speaking, there are mainly two parties to solve the problem of vacant house. One is government and another is private organization. Reusing vacant houses should be managed systematically. In case of HHS, reuse of vacant houses has been gradually discussed and progressed by local habitants and the NPO. Kashima city is also considering the problem to vitalize the area and to enhance tourism of the city.

Therefore, we built an idea of management system for vacant houses as shown in Fig. 4. Namely, NPO manages reuse of vacant houses between the vacant house owner and immigrants in cooperation with local government and university. Thus, the opinions to the above-mentioned system are collected, arranged, and analyzed.

![System diagram](image3)

Fig. 4. An idea of the system to know its efficiency.

The house owners surveyed in this study are extracted from the vacant houses of Fig. 2. Hence, the survey area is composed of the two ITBPDs and their surroundings where the house owners can receive subsidy to repair or to build their houses. There are 46 and 7 vacant houses in the ITBPDs and in the surroundings, respectively.

The questionnaire is conducted to the vacant house owners, the NPO staffs, and the persons who moved into the study area. Table I and II show results of distribution of the questionnaire to the vacant house owners and to the NPO staffs and the immigrants, respectively.

### TABLE I: DISTRIBUTION OF QUESTIONNAIRE TO VACANT HOUSE OWNERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution period</th>
<th>Direct distribution</th>
<th>Mail distribution</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(From October to November, 2015)</td>
<td>25 cases</td>
<td>13 cases</td>
<td>38 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of response</td>
<td>25 cases</td>
<td>10 cases</td>
<td>35 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II: DISTRIBUTION OF QUESTIONNAIRE TO NPO STAFFS AND IMMIGRANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution period</th>
<th>NPO</th>
<th>Immigrant</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(November, 2015)</td>
<td>49* cases</td>
<td>12 cases</td>
<td>66 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of the distribution</td>
<td>16 cases</td>
<td>6 cases</td>
<td>22 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of response</td>
<td>16 cases</td>
<td>4 cases</td>
<td>20 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of the distribution

Distribution period of the questionnaire to the vacant house owner was from October to November, 2015. The questionnaire was distributed to 51 vacant house owners whose addresses were known by mail. If one vacant house owner had some vacant houses, the same number of the questionnaire shirts should be sent to the vacant house owner. The contents of questionnaire were: 1) current use of the house, 2) future plan of use, and 3) management system of the house, especially on possibility of the NPO.

![Current living place of the vacant house owners](image4)
![The management situation of the vacant houses](image5)

Fig. 5. Current living place of the vacant house owners.
Distribution period to the NPO staffs and the immigrants was November, 2015. The questionnaire was handed directly and collected to better the response rate. The contents of questionnaire were: 1) current situation of HHS, 2) problems in the town, 3) idea of reusing vacant houses, and 4) management system of the houses. Besides, to the immigrants, the reason why they wanted to move into the area was questioned. In parallel, we conducted also interview to whom we could request. As per the interview, we could obtain from 5 NPO staffs and 2 immigrants.

In this survey, the number of response of questionnaire to the NPO staffs and the immigrants is not enough, so it has no statistical meaning. Yet, the survey results are meaningful enough to know their idea by combining the questionnaire survey and the interview survey.

IV. RESULTS OF SURVEY TO VACANT HOUSE OWNERS

A. Analysis of Vacant Houses and Owners

According to the analysis, the highest answer of building years of vacant houses was more than 90 years standing in the area. Next was from 50 to 70 years. Comparing the building years and the terms of vacant houses, older building year brings longer vacancy. As per the owner’s current living place, the rate of vacant owners who lived in Kashima city including Hamamachi (administrative name of the survey place) was more than half. Regarding the situation of managements, it became obvious that the owners living in or near the study area could manage their vacant houses constantly. On the other hand, the owners living far from the area could not manage and there were several vacant houses without cares as shown in Figs. 5 and 6.

Next, as per anxieties, the owners checked almost all factors, but “fire” and “become too old” were especially highly checked as shown in Fig. 7. Moreover, older adults checked more intensively on “becoming too old” and “to keep management of vacant house.” Comparing with the age and living places, the owners living far had more anxieties than the vacant owners living in Hamamachi and Kashima city.

The answers why remaining vacant houses were mainly “nobody succeeds the houses or the lands (5 people)” as shown in Fig. 8. This answer was a little bit much more than the other answers. Additionally, the most answer was “others (12 people).”

![Fig. 6. Building years and period of vacant houses.](image)

![Fig. 7. Answers on anxieties in owning vacant houses.](image)

TABLE III: OTHER REASONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I work in other land, but I will live in from the next year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will do a private home providing meals and lodging in future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People left house recently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I put baggage and use it now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner enters facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is the thing which I got as a substitute for debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in other places independently</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to free answers on the reason of remaining vacant houses, the reasons were, e.g., “Living in a nursing home for the elderly at this moment, “Planning to move in”, “Planning to conduct vacation rental” and so on. These answers showed that they were willing to reuse their vacant houses. We show the other reasons in Table III.
B. Will to Utilize of the Vacant Houses and Recognition of Measures

As per the answers to question about increase of the vacant houses in HHS, persons over 60 years old think that it is big problems and actually feel that it influences the historic townscape. Most of 50s and 60s think that all habitants of HHS should work to solve the problem together. Yet, there are some opinions that it is no problems (Fig. 9).

Next, as for the vacant houses the owners themselves own, mainly people over 60s who think that increase of the vacant houses in HHS is a big problem answer that they want to cooperate in solving the problem. On the other hands, also some people feel it without problems (Fig. 10).

Regarding intentions to the vacant houses they own (Fig. 11), more than half owners of vacant houses intend to reuse it without living like “reusing and breaking up for rental houses (18 people in total),” “selling it with land (6 people in total),” “conversion to parking (2 people in total).” On the other hand, the intentions for living are like “planning to move in (5 people in total),” “now living in a nursing home for elderly people (2 people in total).”

As to the measures for the vacant houses (registration system of vacant houses, subsidies for reusing of vacant houses and so on), only no more than half owners know. Although 64% people want to reuse the vacant houses among the people who know the measures, almost all vacant houses still remain standing as they are for long terms. As results, a lot of vacant houses in HHS are not reused yet (Fig. 12).

Viewing from reuse of vacant houses, we think that the persons who answered “no people will succeed the houses and lands,” “not knowing how to reuse vacant houses,” “living in the other place with my family” and so on will
reuse the vacant houses if we contact the person and can negotiate well. Moreover, even the house nobody lives because too old can be hopefully reused after grasping its conditions. On the other hand, the owners who answered “keeping furniture in the vacant houses (3 cases)” did not live in but used like storage, and the owners who answered “could not live alone now” did not live in. Yet, there are some possibilities that they will come back and live in, so we can hardly say that these houses are vacant (Table IV).

![Figure 12: Recognition of unoccupied house measures.](image)

**Reasons to reuse**
- I will repair some or all and want to use it in a different use.
- After having dismantled vacant houses, I make the parking lot or want to sell it.
- I want to sell every land because I cannot maintain it.
- Because there is no successor, I want to sell every land.
- Because it is not facing a road, I want to use it without buildings.

**Reasons not to reuse**
- I am going to live in future.
- Because the owner enters facilities.
- Because I do not know a consultation window and the system.
- I want to lend it until I sell it.

**C. A Proposal of System to Reuse Vacant Houses**

More than half owners of vacant houses know that NPO was discussing about reuse of vacant houses. Yet, 14 people among 17 people who answered “know” was living in Hamamachi or in Kashima city, the rest three people were living out of Kashima city. Regarding the meeting, the people who live out of Kashima city can scarcely know anything.

Next, as to the question that the NPO conducted to sell or rent vacant houses as a mediator, 10 people agreed. Yet, 9 people did not agree with it and 14 people answered “no idea.” The cause was that the NPO was not well known by the person living out of Kashima city. The reasons for it were “willing to reuse the vacant houses for keeping townscape (13 people),” “not willing to sell or rent to unknown persons (7 people).” Also, there were some questions on trustworthiness of the NPO such as “if they act sensibly,” and opinions against it because they did not know about the NPO. Hence, it is necessary for the NPO to be well-known and credible as a mediator to the owners also living out of Kashima city.

**V. ABSTRACT AND CLARIFY POINTS TO BUILD THE SYSTEM**

Here in this chapter, it is clarified what the NPO is requested and what they can do in creating a management system for reusing vacant houses through a survey on perceptions of the NPO members and new habitants, also grasping present conditions of the NPO. Yet, in the above-mentioned questionnaire survey we could not get enough number of answer from members of the NPO (only 16 people) and new habitants (only 4 people) to get statistical meanings. Therefore, we additionally conduct an interview survey to both of the NPO’s main staffs and some new habitants. This interview can make us concretely know what and how they are thinking, so this survey is very significant. Thus, we abstract and clarify points to build the system for vacant houses analyzing results of the questionnaire and the interview.

**A. Present and Future Problems of HHS**

All of the interviewees pointed out as followings: The habitants are aging so that HHS is rather depopulated, and that it had brought many problems, for instance, depressing activities of the NPO, increasing vacant houses, making interchanges between communities and new habitants less, and so on. There were also differences of recognition for activation of town, sightseeing, and treatment to tourists. As future problems, beside solving the above-mentioned problems, they need to upgrade supports for new habitants, to create a system for financially independent town from supports from administration.

**B. Present Conditions and Problems of the NPO**

The main staffs of the NPO mentioned as followings: Only part of the NPO members are aggressive to attend their meetings and events, so not all of the members and the habitants can grasp information and activities of the NPO. It becomes obvious that the whole NPO or local communities cannot grasp details of working of NPO. Moreover, the main staffs of the NPO are from the outside of two ITBPDS. Besides, the issues begin to affect reliability of the NPO because they can have hardly solved different opinions and problems are remaining.

As future problems, the NPO must review the system of the NPO by itself and have a common sense as one organization, and it is necessary to work for their activities in cooperation with other organizations.

**VI. DISCUSSION ON SYSTEM FOR REUSING VACANT HOUSES**

According to the analysis, it has become obvious that three parties, that is, house owners, the NPO, and new habitants, are willing to make positions in charge not only of the reuse of vacant houses but also of the communication between new habitants and local inhabitants. Additionally, according to the opinions on a system for reuse of vacant houses, the NPO has limitations of the things corresponding to vacant house owners and applicants of new habitants as an intermediate organization, because burden on the NPO is too heavy. Thus, after rearranging of the opinions about system for reuse of vacant houses, we assume a system and roles, and considering the system for reuse of vacant houses of a historic town by an intermediate organization viewing from habitants’ perception (Table V).

As per the system, the NPO should work for measures to vacant houses while NPO continues to work for the other
activities. As for the roles, the NPO can manage the information of vacant houses and support to new habitants like “consultant,” can survey on vacant houses and progress the reuse such as “intermediate and agent,” and can encourage communication among local habitants and new habitants like “communicator.”

These three positions should be clearly distinguished in the organization of the NPO. In the system in which the habitants’ perceptions are reflected, the NPO should work as a main body. Yet, with the help of local government, the task of the NPO should be reduced. In the cooperation of the residents such as the meeting of the traditional building owners, preservation should be promoted appropriately. On the other hands, to advance the system in future, the NPO needs to review their organization and to play the roles we propose smoothly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE V: HABITANTS’ PERCEPTION TO SYSTEM AND ROLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments to the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant house owners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO should work outside of HHS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The NPO should work as a main body and the other organizations help the NPO. Not too heavy burden of the NPO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of NPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The NPO should provide appropriate information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. CONCLUSION

In this research, we investigated the current situation of vacant houses and confirmed intentions of vacant house owners by conducting a questionnaire survey to the owners who have vacant houses in HHS, then valuable data for planning to reuse the vacant houses in future could be obtained. Moreover, thanks to perform a questionnaire survey to vacant house owners, the staffs of the NPO, and the new habitants, we could know the points to create a system for reuse of vacant houses by an intermediate organization in a historic town viewing from habitants’ perception. However, comparing with the system which organization of Yame works to reuse vacant houses, there are many insufficient points to be applied, so the system proposed in the study should be revised.

Considering the problems especially cause from the study method such as few response of questionnaire and only one study area surveyed, this study has limitation to conclude, so more surveys should be conducted particularly for the whole town where the survey was not conducted in this study and more comparisons should be done with the other historic areas. Besides, a new regime for vacant house by the middle organization should be examine practically.
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