Abstract—Sentence Reduction is a valuable task in the framework of text summarization. In previous works, sentence is reduced by removing redundant words or phrases from original sentence and try to remain important information. In this paper we propose a new method that used Viterbi algorithm for find the most likelihood substring and then concatenate them to generate sentence reduction. Reduced sentence not only remain important information from original sentence, grammatically is ensured. The experimental results shown that, our method better than previous works and closely method that has done by human.

Index Terms—Important word, Likehood substring, Sentence reduction, Vietnamese text, Viterbi algorithm.

I. INTRODUCTION
The Goal of sentence reduction is create a system to automatically reduce the length of sentences by removing some of the words while attempting to create output sentences that:
- are grammatical
- capture the most important semantic elements of the original sentences
- make sense

There are many wide applications in sentence reduction. For example, due to time and space constraints, the generation of TV captions often requires only the most important parts of sentences to be shown on a screen (Linke-Ellis 1999; Robert-Ribes et al. 1999). A good sentence reduction module would have an impact on the task of automatic caption generation. A sentence reduction module can also be used to provide audio scanning services for the blind (Grefenstette 1998). In general, since all systems aimed at producing coherent abstracts implement manually written sets of sentence compression rules (McKeown et al. 1999; Mani, Gates, & Bloedorn 1999; Barzilay, McKeown, & Elhadad 1999), it is likely that a good sentence compression module would impact the overall quality of these systems as well. This becomes particularly important for text genres that use long sentences.

Sentence reduction is commonly expressed as a word deletion problem: given an input source sentence of words $x = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$, the aim is to produce a target compression by removing any subset of these words (Knight & Marcu, 2002). The compression problem has been extensively studied across different modeling paradigms, both supervised and unsupervised. Typical of supervised learning model was proposed by Minh Le Nguyen, he used HMM model and lexical rule for generating sentence reduction [7] and syntax – based language model ( Turner & Charniak, 2005 ). Apply unsupervised learning to speech summarization was proposed by Chiori Hori and Sadaoki Furui[8], in his approach, he extracted an input sentence into word sets and used dynamic programming for generating sentence reduction[9].

Our sentence reduction goal is used for automatic Vietnamese text summarization system. Because of proposed method of Vietnamese sentence reduction is supervised learning method [8]. Supervised learning need a large corpus for training and very complexity in processing while research on Vietnamese text is beginning so very difficult for building a Vietnamese text summarization corpus. Therefore, suitable conditions, we use semi – supervised learning method for sentence reduction. Additional, Vietnamese is a single syllable, also difficult to separate words, so that, we only segment sentence into two word sets, reduce complexity in word segmentation when Vietnamese word segmentation tool is less effectively [13].

The rest of paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we will introduce some related work and model of Vietnamese sentence reduction. In section 3 is presentation of our method for Vietnamese sentence reduction. Experiments and results will show in section 4. And finally, section 5 is conclusion and future works.

II. METHOD OF VIETNAMESE SENTENCE REDUCTION
A. Related Works
Knight & Marcu (2002) proposed two methods, one is the noisy channel model where the probabilities for sentence reduction (P {compress(S)}) 1) are estimated from a training set (Sentence, Sentencecompress) pairs, manually crafted, while considering lexical and syntactical features. The other approach learns syntactic tree rewriting rules, defined through four operators:SHIFT, REDUCE DROP and ASSIGN [7].

In the work of (Le Nguyen & Ho, 2004) two sentence reduction algorithms were also proposed. The first one is based on template translation learning, a method inherited from the machine translation field, which learns lexical transformation rules, by observing a set of 1500 (Sentence, Sentencereduced) pair, selected from a website and manually tuned to obtain the training data. Due to complexity difficulties found for the application of this big lexical ruleset, they proposed an improvement where a stochastic Hidden Markov Model is trained to help in the decision of which sequence of possible lexical reduction rules should be
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applied to a specific case [8].

An unsupervised approach was included in the work of (Turner & Charniak, 2005), where training data are automatically extracted from the Penn Treebank corpus, to fit a noisy channel model, similar to the one used by (Knight & Marcu, 2002). In the work of (Clarke & Lapata, 2006) devise a different and quite curious approach, where the sentence compression task is defined as an optimization goal, from an Integer Programming problem. Several constraints are defined, according to language models, linguistic, and syntactical features. Although this is an unsupervised approach, without using any parallel corpus, it is completely knowledge driven, like a set of crafted rules and heuristics incorporated into a system to solve a certain problem[7],[8].

All these works applied for English. In Vietnamese, there are two methods for sentence reduction. And all these methods proposed Minh Le Nguyen. One of its applied HMM to Vietnamese sentence reduction and other used syntax control for reducing. And up to now hasn’t significant research on Vietnamese sentence reduction [7],[8].

B. Model of sentence reduction

We use semi-supervised learning approach for generating sentence reduction and our method is carried out by 4 steps:

- **Step 1**: Apply word segmentation VLSP tool to separate words from original sentence into 2 word sets: topic word set (is noun) and other word set (not noun).
- **Step 2**: Important word set will be extracted according to a ratio, this ratio indicate original sentence will be reduced by information and it is calculated by number of topic word in sentence divide number of topic word in original word.

\[
\text{r} = \frac{\text{number of topic word in reduction sentence}}{\text{number of topic word in original sentence}}
\]

- **Step 3**: Generating the most likelihood substring based on set of important words was extracted from step 2 and other words set by Viterbi algorithm.
- **Step 4**: Correct grammatically in step 3 and generating reduction sentence.

III. SENTENCE REDUCTION BY CONCATENATING THE MOST LIKELIHOOD SUBSTRINGS

A. Word segmentation

Vietnamese is a single syllable language so we can’t separate word based on spaces. For example: an input sentence “Iran luôn nói chương trình hạt nhân của mình là nhằm mục đích hòa bình.” Translated to English “Iran has always said their nuclear programs is aimed at peaceful purposes” We separate as bellow:

Ion /lững nói/ chương trình/ hạt nhân/ của /mình/ là/ nhằm/ mục đích/ hòa bình.

So we have a topic word set by use a Vietnamese word segmentation tool.

- Topic word set= { Iran (Iran), chương trình (programs), hạt nhân (nuclear), mục đích (purpose), hòa bình (peace) }.

B. Information Significant score

In our method, topic word is the noun expressing information. So, Information significant score only apply for words whichs is noun and calculate by the formula (2) as follow:

\[
I(w_i) = \frac{N_S(w_i)}{\sum_{w_j \in S} N_S(w_j)} + \frac{N_D(w_i)}{N_D}
\]

where:

- \( N_S(w_i) \) is the number of occurrence of topic word \( w_i \) in original sentence.
- \( \sum_{w_j \in S} \) is the total number of topic word \( w_j \) in original sentence.
- \( N_D(w_i) \) is the number of documents in training set that occur topic word \( w_i \).
- \( N_D \) is the total number of documents in training set \( D \).

We don’t use tf x idf method (term frequency–inverse document frequency) for calculating information weight of word. Because, in our method, document sets for training was classified by topic, so use tf x idf is not appropriate.

C. Extracting important topic words

Ratio \( r \) of a sentence indicate how much amount of information will be extracted, and it is calculated by number of topic words in reduced sentence divide by number of topic words in original sentence. This ratio is only used for extracting a set of important topic word from topic word set that has high score \( I(w_i) \).

Suppose ratio \( r=50\% \) with the above sentence, the number of topic word will be extracted from original sentence is a set of 3 words.

D. Methodolody of sentence reduction

This section describes Sentence Reduction Algorithm based find substrings that is the most likelihood. In the first step, the original sentence is segmented into two sets that are T and O. A set of words, T, that consists of nouns and a set of words, O, which consists of the rest of sentence. In the second step, the significance score of each word in set T is computed. In the next step, the important word set (called R) will be extracted from T according the ratio r. The set of all words in set R are then used to generate the reduced sentence.
Algorithm 3.4.1 Extracting important words from original sentence.

**Input:** T, O, r
**Output:** T'

1. For i := 1 to count(T) do
   \[ I(w_i) \leftarrow \frac{N_S(w_i)}{\sum_{w_i \in S} N_D} + \frac{N_D(w_i)}{N_D} \]
2. Sort I(w) in descending order;
3. While (# topic word in T' < r * # topic word in T) do
   \[ T' \leftarrow w_i \]

In the above algorithm, T is topic word set, O is other word set, r is reduction ratio, T' is important word set, w_i is topic word.

Reduced sentence contains set of important topic word that was extracted from previous step. Then, we used n-grams model and Viterbi algorithm for better determining sentence reduction. Viterbi algorithm is practiced with each pair nouns (w_i, w_j) in original sentence that was removed unimportant words from step 3, between us can be verb, adverb, adjective etc other than nouns. N-grams and Viterbi is used for calculating which subsequence is most likelihood.

\[ S = \sum \text{the most likelihood subsequence of each noun pair } (w_i, w_j) \]

(3)

For example “Cô thể coi HVA là một ‘tổ chức’ hacker hoạt động có tổ chức mục đích rõ ràng.” In English “HVA can be considered as a hacker organization does everything according to a clear purpose.”. We have some noun pairs (HVA (HVA), tổ chức (organization)), (tổ chức (organization), hacker(hacker)), (hacker (hacker), tổ chức), (tổ chức, mục đích (purpose)).

Algorithm 3.4.2 Generating sentence reduction.

**Input:** T, O
**Output:** S

1. Determining the next word of w_i.
   For each topic word pair (w_i, w_j)
   If j+i+1 then
    { S(i,k)← max (N-grams(w_i, w_j));
    backpoint←agrmax (S(i,k));
   }
2. Determining the most likelihood substring (w_i, w_j)
   For m := k+1 to j do
    { S(k,j)← max (backpoint + N-grams(k, j));
    backpoint ← agrmax (backpoint + N-grams(k, j));
   }
3. Concatenating the most likelihood substring for generating reduced sentence

**Example 3.1.** An input sentence “Trong tháng 4, Thủ tướng Việt Nam Nguyễn Tấn Dũng sẽ tham dự Hội nghị Thương dinh về an toàn hạt nhân do Tổng thống Mỹ Barack Obama chủ trì tại Washington.”. Translated to English “In April, Vietnam’s Prime Minister Nguyen Tan Dung will attend the Summit on Nuclear security that is held by the U.S. President Barack Obama in Washington.”.

Table I shows the topic words \( t_i \) and its significance score.

**TABLE I. SIGNIFICANT SCORE OF TOPIC WORD.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic word ( t_i )</th>
<th>Significant score ( I(t_i) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tháng</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thủ tướng</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>việt nam</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nguyên tắc đứng</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hội nghị</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thương định</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hạt nhân</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tổng thống</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.my</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barack obama</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Set of important word when ratio r = 60% \( T' \) = \{ Tháng, thủ tướng, Việt nam, hạt nhân, tổng thống, Mỹ, Washington \}.

Figure 3 indicates apply n-grams and viterbi for determining the most likelihood substring between a pair of noun. Text in the circle is nouns, the blue path is the most likelihood sequence for sentence reduction corresponding with relativity ratio r = 60%.

Table II shows the reduction results with 40%, 60% and 80% reduction ratios.

**TABLE II. REDUCED SENTENCES WITH VARIOUS RATIOS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Sentence Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Trong tháng 4, thủ tướng Việt Nam tham dự hội nghị về an toàn hạt nhân do tổng thống Mỹ Barack Obama chủ trì tại Washington.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Trong tháng 4, thủ tướng Việt Nam tham dự hội nghị về an toàn hạt nhân do tổng thống Mỹ Barack Obama chủ trì tại Washington.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>Trong tháng 4, thủ tướng Việt Nam tham dự hội nghị về an toàn hạt nhân do tổng thống Mỹ Barack Obama chủ trì tại Washington.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.**

Our experiment used the corpus of 100 Vietnamese text. We collected from Vietnamese Vnexpress online newspaper (http://VnExpress.Net). We then used the VLSP word segmentation tool (http://vlsp.vielt.org:8080/demo/?page = seg_pos_chunk) to segment Vietnamese text into words. After correcting them manually, we obtained more than 200,000 words, which were then used to generate reduction sentence for our reduction algorithm SRBLS.
It’s difficult to compare our method with previous ones, because there were not widely accepted benchmarks for Vietnamese text reduction. Therefore, we compare our proposed method with manual sentence reduction generated by humans, called Human, and sentence reduction method using syntax control, called Syn.con [9]. Figure 4 shows two examples of our reduction methods in testing on the Vietnamese language. Each reduction example is attached to an English translation. The reduction results of ours in the all examples are close to human reduction.

**Example 1**

**Original**

*Một quan chức cao cấp của Mỹ cho biết Hoa Kỳ và Nga “đang đạt được tiến bộ lớn” trong thỏa thuận giảm vũ khí nguyên tử.*

**Our method (with ratio 60%)**

*Mỹ cho biết Hoa Kỳ và Nga đang thỏa thuận giảm vũ khí.*

**Human**

*Mỹ cho biết Hoa Kỳ và Nga đang thỏa thuận giảm vũ khí nguyên tử.*

**Example 2**

**Original**

*Iran luôn nói chương trình hạt nhân của mình là nhằm phục vụ mục đích hòa bình.*

**Our method (with ratio 70%)**

*Iran nói chương trình hạt nhân của mình là nhằm phục vụ hòa bình.*

**Human**

*Iran nói chương trình hạt nhân là nhằm phục vụ hòa bình.*

**Example 3**

**Original**

*Có thể coi HVA là một “tổ chức” hacker hoạt động có tổ chức.*

**Our method (with ratio r= 70%)**

*Có thể coi HVA là một tổ chức hacker hoạt động có tổ chức.*

**Human**

*HVA là một tổ chức hacker hoạt động có tổ chức.*

Table III shows compression ratios in the second column, which indicates that the lower the compression ratio the shorter the reduced sentence. The Grammaticality in the third column, which indicates the appropriateness of reduced sentence in term of grammatical. Table III also shows the word significance weight in the fourth column, which indicates the number of important words of original sentence that occur in reduced sentence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Compression</th>
<th>Grammatically</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>65.25</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>61.2209</td>
<td>8.333333</td>
<td>6.34524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn.con</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this experiment, we use the evaluation way as Knight and Marcu [7]. Table III shows the sentence reduction results that are carried out by our method, Human and Syn.con for Vietnamese text.

**V. CONCLUSION**

Research on Vietnamese text is beginning, so we applied semi-supervised learning approach to Vietnamese sentence reduction while Vietnamese text hasn’t got a fully corpus for text summarization. In our method, we reduced complex word segmentation by segment input sentence into two word sets. Then, we used Viterbi algorithm to generate sentence.
reduction. Sentence that was generated by our method is correct in grammar, has high in linguistic, good readable and understandable. Our experimental results on a corpus of 5000 sentences of Vietnamese text shows that the proposed sentence reduction method achieved acceptable results compared to human reduction.

In the future work, we will make abstracts from Vietnamese text consisting of multiple sentences.
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