Abstract—This paper presents basic features of the Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM). It further showcases Fuzzy Logic as an effective system control mechanism. MATLAB; the simulation kit used in the development of the system is described. The models that yielded high performance values are shown. Finally, the test results and their implications are elucidated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM)[1,3,7] also referred to as cell switching utilizes the concept of Virtual Circuit Switching [2,18].

It consists of a Fifty Three (53) bytes fixed packet, which is used to transfer information simultaneously from either voice, data or video sources [19].

ATM has the ability to provide seamless networking[3,17] as well as a universal networking platform.

Various Quality of service (QOS) parameters can be negotiated on an ATM network. They include call Delay variables; Maximum Cell Transfer Delay (max CTD), Peak to Peak cell Delay Variation (P2P-CDV), Cell Error Ratio (CER), Cell Misinsertion Ratio (CMR) and Severely Errored Cell Block ratio (SECB).

Various classes of ATM services guarantee different Quality of service and traffic parameters, which include; Constant Bit Rate (CBR), Real time Variable Bit rate (rt-VBR), Non- real time Variable Bit rate (nrt-VBR), Unspecified Bit rate (UBR), Available Bit rate (ABR) and Guaranteed Frame rate (GFR)[5]. ATM simultaneously attempts to support voice, data and video applications, each one having different performance requirements: It thus becomes imperative that for optimal utilization of the network, the system architecture requires complex, non linear distributed control structures. In order to achieve it’s potential, the ATM network will need to accommodate several interacting control mechanisms such as Call Admission Control,[4] Flow and Congestion Control[6,8], Input rate regulation, Routing, Bandwidth allocation, Queue Scheduling and Buffer management. It thus becomes necessary that a strategic system control architecture be employed in ATM Control. A Fuzzy logic control system was adopted in this work because of its robustness in the control of typical systems.

II. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROL

Fuzzy logic is a superset of conventional (Boolean) logic that has been extended to handle the concept of partial truth values between “completely true” and completely false”[12]. As it’s name suggests, it is the logic underlying modes of reasoning which are approximate rather than exact. The importance of Fuzzy logic derives from the fact that most modes of human reasoning and especially common sense reasoning are approximate in nature. Fuzzy logic is a problem-solving control system methodology, capable of generating conclusions based upon vague, ambiguous, imprecise, noisy or missing input information. This approach follows naturally how a professional is able to solve a problem.

Fuzzy logic incorporates a simple rule based IF, THEN statements rather than attempting to model the system.

Fuzzy logic is empirically based; it relies on the operators’ experience rather than the technical details of the system being controlled. Expressions such as voltage is low, are common instead of voltage is 2V.

Fuzzy logic is currently preferred in control systems because it is robust and does not insist on noise- free inputs and can implement non linear systems without any known mathematical models. The output control is usually a smooth control function even when a wide range of input variations exist [10].

It is easier to modify the system for the purpose of either altering or improving it’s performance, by changing the rule structure, rule base, membership function defuzzification process.

The cost of fuzzy system implementation is low. Since the system can easily be simulated before implementation.

Multiple inputs and outputs can be achieved with Fuzzy logic controlled systems[13]. The number of the signals being a major determinant of the complexity of the rule base.

Due to its capacity to capture human expertise and to formalize approximate reasoning processes, it is a veritable tool for handling the challenges of congestion control in ATM networks. The basic steps employed in Fuzzy logic implementation, involves identifying and defining the control objective, determining the input and output relationships, developing the rule base using simple IF, THEN, AND, OR operations, and determining the Fuzzy logic membership functions[14]. Subsequently, the necessary routines are created if the system is intended to be implemented in software. Otherwise, the rules are coded directly into the system for hardware based implementation.

Finally, the system is tested and in some cases tuned for optimum operation.

III. MATLAB ®

The simulation kit used in this work is MATLAB. The name stands for Matrix Laboratory. It is a high performance
tool that enhances technical computing as it integrates computation, visualization and programming in a user friendly computer system environment[11].

MATLAB is a collection of increasingly Add-on Application specific solutions referred to as tool Boxes, which are a comprehensive collection of MATLAB functions referred to as M-files, for signal processing, control systems, Fuzzy Logic, Genetic Algorithm, Neural Networks, Wavelets, Filter design simulations [15] etc.

Assumptions

The following assumptions were used in this work,

1. The Quality of service (QoS) and Traffic parameters are dynamic and therefore assume values that can correctly be modeled as random.
2. The values of the Linguistic input variables are normalized and have magnitudes within the range of 0 and 1
3. The threshold value as specified in the final output, determine whether a cell can be accepted or rejected. Therefore, an observation of the output of the controller, and reference to the value of the specified threshold, is a good indication of acceptance or rejection
4. The ratio of the number of cells accepted to those rejected, is an accurate measure of system efficiency.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Different models of fuzzy controlled ATM systems were formulated. These models were simulated using the Fuzzy logic tool box on MATLAB release 7.0. As a model is proposed, various linguistic input variables and membership functions are developed. The attendant rule bases in accordance with the Mamdani rule structure are established based on experience and tendency of current results obtained. The entire systems were rigorously tuned, generating well over one hundred experiments. Also, a MATLAB program was developed and used to randomly generate one hundred (100) different input values for the linguistic variables prescribed. The program also counts and displays the number of cells accepted and also those rejected, which now served as a basis for efficiency as stipulated by the work.

V. TESTS AND RESULTS

Several models were developed with their attendant rule bases. The following, fig1, fig5, fig10, fig14 are the models that yielded high results, their typical surface plots are shown in figs; 2,3,4,6,7,8,10,11,12,14,15 and 16. Plots describing the Acceptance/rejection pattern for each model are shown in figs. 5,9,13 and 17 respectively. The model III in fig3, with it’s attendant rule base(Tables i, ii and iii) yielded the highest result. Table II is a summary of the results for all the models showcased.
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Fig 10 A surface plot for Model III of the Fuzzy Controlled ATM network

Fig 11 A surface plot for Model III of the Fuzzy Controlled ATM network

Fig 12 A surface plot for Model III of the Fuzzy Controlled ATM network

Fig 13 A Graph showing the Acceptance/Rejection Ratio for Model III of the Fuzzy Controlled ATM network

Model IV

Fig 14 Model IV of the Fuzzy Controlled ATM network

Fig 15 A Graph showing the Acceptance/Rejection Ratio for Model IV
VI. THE RULE BASE FOR THE BANDWIDTH ESTIMATOR IS AS FOLLOWS

1. If PCR is small and SCR is small and PCD is small then Y₀ is low
2. If PCR is small and SCR is small and PCD is medium then Y₀ is low
3. If PCR is small and SCR is small and PCD is High then Y₀ is low
4. If PCR is small and SCR is medium and PCD is small then Y₀ is low
5. If PCR is small and SCR is medium and PCD is High then Y₀ is low
6. If PCR is small and SCR is medium and PCD is small then Y₀ is low
7. If PCR is small and SCR is medium and PCD is small then Y₀ is low
8. If PCR is small and SCR is High and PCD is medium then Y₀ is high
9. If PCR is small and SCR is High and PCD is High then Y₀ is high
10. If PCR is medium and SCR is High and PCD is small then Y₀ is high
11. If PCR is medium and SCR is small and PCD is small then Y₀ is high
12. If PCR is medium and SCR is small and PCD is medium then Y₀ is high
13. If PCR is medium and SCR is small and PCD is High then Y₀ is low
14. If PCR is medium and SCR is small and PCD is medium then Y₀ is low
15. If PCR is medium and SCR is small and PCD is High then Y₀ is low
16. If PCR is medium and SCR is High and PCD is small then Y₀ is high
17. If PCR is medium and SCR is High and PCD is medium then Y₀ is high
18. If PCR is medium and SCR is High and PCD is High then Y₀ is High
19. If PCR is medium and SCR is Low and PCD is low then Y₀ is High
20. If PCR is High and SCR is Low and PCD is medium then Y₀ is High
21. If PCR is High and SCR is Low and PCD is high then Y₀ is High

22. If PCR is High and SCR is medium and PCD is low then Y₀ is High
23. If PCR is High and SCR is medium and PCD is medium then Y₀ is High
24. If PCR is High and SCR is medium and PCD is high then Y₀ is High
25. If PCR is High and SCR is High and PCD is low then Y₀ is High
26. If PCR is High and SCR is High and PCD is medium then Y₀ is High
27. If PCR is High and SCR is High and PCD is High then Y₀ is High

TABLE VII: THE RULE BASE FOR THE BANDWIDTH ESTIMATOR FOR MODEL III

TABLE VII.

1. If BS is small and BC is small and CLR is small then Y₁ is low
2. If BS is small and BC is small and CLR is medium then Y₁ is low
3. If BS is small and BC is small and CLR is High then Y₁ is low
4. If BS is small and BC is medium and CLR is small then Y₁ is low
5. If BS is small and BC is medium and CLR is medium then Y₁ is low
6. If BS is small and BC is medium and CLR is High then Y₁ is low
7. If BS is small and BC is medium and CLR is small then Y₁ is low
8. If BS is small and BC is medium and CLR is medium then Y₁ is low
9. If BS is small and BC is medium and CLR is High then Y₁ is High
10. If BS is medium and BC is medium and CLR is small then Y₁ is high
11. If BS is medium and BC is medium and CLR is medium then Y₁ is high
12. If BS is medium and BC is medium and CLR is High then Y₁ is High
13. If BS is medium and BC is small and CLR is small then Y₁ is low
14. If BS is medium and BC is small and CLR is medium then Y₁ is low
15. If BS is medium and BC is small and CLR is High then Y₁ is High
16. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is small then Y₁ is High
17. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is medium then Y₁ is High
18. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is High then Y₁ is High
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15. If BS is medium and BC is small and CLR is high then Y1 is low
16. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is small then Y1 is High
17. If BS is medium and BC is high and CLR is medium then Y1 is High
18. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is high then Y1 is High
19. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is medium then Y1 is High
20. If BS is medium and BC is High and CLR is High then Y1 is High
21. If BS is High and BC is Low and CLR is medium then Y1 is High
22. If BS is High and BC is Low and CLR is High then Y1 is High
23. If BS is High and BC is medium and CLR is low then Y1 is High
24. If BS is High and BC is medium and CLR is High then Y1 is High
25. If BS is High and BC is High and CLR is Low then Y1 is High
26. If BS is High and BC is High and CLR is Medium then Y1 is High
27. If BS is High and BC is High and CLR is High then Y1 is High

TABLE VIII The Rule Base for the Congestion Detector for Model III

VIII The Rule Base for the Admission Control System

1. If y0 is low and y1 is Low and y2 is low Then y is reject
2. If y0 is low and y1 is Low and y2 is High Then y is reject
3. If y0 is low and y1 is High and y2 is low Then y is reject
4. If y0 is low and y1 is High and y2 is High Then y is Admit
5. If y0 is High and y1 is Low and y2 is low Then y is reject
6. If y0 is High and y1 is Low and y2 is High Then y Admit
7. If y0 is High and y1 is Low and y2 is low Then y is Admit
8. If y0 is High and y1 is High and y2 is High Then y is Admit

TABLE IX Rule Base for the Admission Control System for Model III

Membership Function
Triangular
From 0 to 0.3 Small
From 0.4 to 0.6 Medium
From 0.6 to 1.0 High

VII. Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Total No Of Calls</th>
<th>No Accepted</th>
<th>No Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model IV</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. Conclusion

An effective controller for the ATM network is derived from a fuzzy controlled system that can effectively monitor the quality of service and traffic parameters to initially determine the bandwidth requirements of the cells, evaluate the current state of the network, use these status to determine the acceptability of a cell seeking permission for transmission. The system derived has an efficiency of 87%.
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